

Post Weaning Diarrhea in Piglets: from cause to Control

Diksha Panwar¹, Kushal Grakh², Lokender Singh³, Priyanka Sheoran⁴

¹MVSc Scholar, Department of Animal Biotechnology ² PhD Scholar, ⁴ MVSc Scholar, Department of Veterinary Public Health and Epidemiology ³ MVSc Scholar, Department of Veterinary Physiology and Biochemistry

ARTICLE ID: 005

Abstract

The total pig population in India is 9.06 million, which was reduced to around 12% from the previous livestock census in 2017. The decreasing number of population might be attributed to the loss of interest of farmers or stakeholders due to losses associated with various diseases of pigs and the cost incurred in treatment, vaccination, and management of pigs. Diarrhea in pigs, mainly post-weaning diarrhea (PWD) caused by *E. coli* strains is the major problem for the piggery industry worldwide, including India which leads to huge economic losses to the pig industry. Antimicrobial resistance additionally worsens the situation by increasing the cost in terms of antibiotics and high mortality. The PWD can be prevented and controlled by following biosecurity and hygienic measures at farms and by treating the affected animals. This article provides insight into the basic understanding of the PWD, the cause of this disease and how to prevent and control this disease.

Keywords: PWD, E. coli, Pigs

Introduction

Diarrhea in piglets can be neonatal diarrhea and post-weaning diarrhea (PWD). PWD is responsible for high morbidity and mortality where mortality may reach up to 20-30% during acute outbreaks of PWD (Mittal et al., 2018). Many viral, bacterial, and parasitic agents are involved in the cause of neonatal diarrhea such as transmissible gastroenteritis (TGE) virus, swine enteric coronavirus disease (SECD) including porcine epidemic disease virus (PEDV) and swine delta coronavirus (SDCV), rotavirus, E. coli, Salmonella, Clostridium perfringens type C, Strongyloides ransomi and Coccidia. PWDis multifactorial as it involves interaction between factors such as sow, piglet, environment, ETEC (Enterotoxigenic E. coli) or EPEC (Enteropathogenic E. coli) bacteria and managemental practices (Hong et al., 2006). In India, the most common cause of morbidity and mortality in piglets is diarrhea (Sinha et al., 2018) and E. coli is primarily associated with these diarrheic



pigs (Begum *et al.*, 2014). Depending upon the severity of the disease, the cost of PWD is estimated to vary from \in 40 to \in 314 per sow, equivalent to INR 3200-25250 per sow (Sjolund*et al.*, 2014; Mittal *et al.*, 2018). This is in addition to the heavy losses in piglets due to decreased weight gain, vaccination, cost of treatment, mortality and use of feed supplements (Fairbrother and Gyles, 2012). Due to the exceptionally high proliferacy of the sow, there can be the birth of nearly 10 -14 piglets at a time. The post weaning stage is full of stress for piglets due to sudden changes in the nutrition, management, and internal physiology of the animal itself.PWD in piglets majorly affects piglets 2 weeks post weaning which is characterized by diarrhea, dehydration, retarded growth, and death sometimes.

To control PWD, antibiotics are commonly used in piggeries for prophylactic and therapeutic purposes. The most commonly used antimicrobials to treat affected piglets are amoxycillin/clavulanate, sulphonamides/trimethoprim, colistin, enrofloxacin, apramycin, ceftiofur, neomycin and gentamicin (Fairbrother and Gyles, 2012). Frequent and long-term use of an antibiotic in a specific area may results in the development of resistance in the bacteria to that particular antibiotic and thus failure to treatment (Moon *et al.*, 2007). It thus becomes important to understand that the disease and various control measures available.

Causes of PWD

The main etiology of PWD is strains of *E. coli* such as *ETEC* although sometimes *EPEC* also causes PWD. Besides *E. coli*, other related etiologies of PWD may be as listed in Table 1. The virus or parasite can predispose the piglets to infection of *E. coli*. The etiology mentioned below may not be able to cause diarrhea alone and needs interaction or secondary infection with *E. coli*, especially in PWD.

Table 1. Various causative agents responsible for diarrhea in piglets alongside E. coli.

Bacteria	Viruses	Parasites	Nutrition	Others
E. coli	Rotavirus	Cryptosporidia	Protein	Sanitation
C. perfringens	Coronavirus	Ascaris suum	Fat	Downtime
L. intracellularis	SRRPv	Trichuris suis	Starch	Environment
Brachyspira sp	PCV2		Fiber	Density
Salmonella sp			Vitamins	Antibiotics
]		Minerals	Drinking water
			Mycotoxins	Feed change
			Ionic imbalance	
			(Na-Cl-K-Ca/P)	

Predisposing factors to PWD



The gut health in piglets is maintained by the balance of acquired immunity (from colostrum), ongoing protective milk-based antibodies and the mixture of beneficial microflora. But at weaning, many changes take place which predisposes the piglets to diarrhea. Few of such changes are like protective milk antibodies are removed, change from highly digestible milk protein and sugars to solid feed, shrinkage of gut villi, which reduces absorptive surface area and nutrients pass further down to large intestine, stress as a result of competition due to large litter size and change leading to reduced feed intake and gut stasis and challenge of a new environment by microbial exposure (Rhouma *et al.*, 2017).

Additionally, conditions related to pregnancy and parturition of the sow such as litter size, parity, and postpartum dysgalactia syndrome also predispose the piglets to PWD (Hong et al., 2006). As maternal antibodies cannot be transported from the mother through the impermeable placenta in sow, therefore newborn piglets acquire maternal immunoglobulin from colostrum during the first 24–48 h of life (Lalles et al., 2007). The post-weaning period is a critical phase in the pig's life due to immature intestinal immune system, and sow milk removal which deprives piglets of nutritive intake of the IgA present in this milk, contributes to increased susceptibility of pigs to PWD (Heoet al., 2013).

Mode of transmission

Post-weaning diarrhea may result from the mixing of pigs carrying the *E. coli* strainwith animals at weaning, which are already susceptible to infection. Infection is also transmitted directly from older, affected or recovered pigs by feco-oral route. As an organism survives well in the environment, so intra-farm spread can occur indirectly by contact with contaminated pan, furniture, instruments, and clothing. The other diarrheal agents as described (**Table 1**) can also be transmitted in similar ways. Introduction to the new farm is usually by means of carrier pigs, but can also occur by clothing, vehicles and footwear which are contaminated with infected faeces (Fairbrother and Gyles, 2012).

Treatment options

The PWD infections can be treated with antibacterial/antibiotics and supportive treatment for diarrhoea and dehydration. As a majority of infections in PWD are due to *E. coli*, bacterial isolation, antimicrobial sensitivity testing (AST) should be done before starting with any random antibiotics. The use of antibiotics without AST would only lead to resistance of these bacteria to such antibiotics and thus mortality and economic losses to



farmers (Mittal *et al.*, 2018). As a supportive treatment, antidiarrheal powder/liquid, anti-inflammatory drugs and probiotic/synbiotics (for recovery) can provide relief as seen in clinal cases reported.

Preventive measures

The stocking density reduction should be considered to decrease the occurrence of PWD as well as other diseases in pigs as high density contributes to more transmission, stress, and inefficient management (Gardner *et al.*, 2002). The management strategies around weaning should be in such a way that avoid any kind of stress for pigs. The measures include preventing the spread of infection by treatment or segregation, by providing the pigs with good thermal comfort, giving them adapted feed and allowing access to feed for all piglets (Rhouma *et al.*, 2017). Some feeds such as soybeans in weaned piglet feed should be avoided as it favors the occurrence of PWD (Dreau *et al.*, 1994).

Control measures

Once occurred PWD can be controlled either by antibiotics or by various other alternatives to antibiotics. As irresponsible use of antibiotics creates antibiotic resistance bacteria and makes treatment difficult, other alternatives to antibiotics should be used. List and benefits of these alternatives and other remarks such as their use, disadvantages are also mentioned for better understanding (Table 2).

Other alternatives such as plasma dried spray and specific egg yolk antibodies are also there but they are still not available in the market and their efficacy is not well known. Additionally, in India, most of pig rearing is limited to small scale and rural household settings, which limits the use of such advanced and costly alternatives.

Conclusion

Despite the progress that has been observed in modern pig farms during the last decade to prevent infectious diseases and improve global animal health, PWD remains a problem that causes significant economic losses in pig production. Antibiotics have contributed significantly to reduce the economic losses caused by infectious diseases and particularly PWD in swine. However, increasing bacterial resistance leading to therapeutic failures on farms as well as the greater vigilance of consumers regarding antimicrobial residues, have resulted in more intensive research and a large number of clinical trials for the development of alternatives to antimicrobials. Until then responsible use of antibiotics, good



management practices at piggery farms, use of feed supplements can reduce the incidence of PWD and thus save on the cost incurred in antibiotic treatment and mortality.

Feed Additive/Strategy	Benefits	Other Remarks
Zinc Oxide	Inhibition of bacterial adhesion to the	High levels of increased
	intestinalmucosa, stimulates growth	PWD and bacteria can
	rate, maintain intestinal mucosal	develop resistance to it
	integrity and	
	modulates immune functions	
Organic acids	Decreases pH in the stomach,	Can be easily provided in
	improves growth performance and	feed or water
	reduces PWD	
Prebiotics, probiotics	Improves intestinal health, improves	Various brand name are
and synbiotics	growth performance, reduces	available in the market
	diarrhea, and prevent attachment of	but are costly
	pathogenic bacteria to the intestinal	
	mucosa	
Bacteriophages	Reduces E. coli mucosal adhesion,	Narrow spectrum of
	maintains intestinal mucosal integrity,	activity, development of
	and de <mark>crease</mark> d <mark>iarrhea</mark>	bacterial resistance, high
		cost, non-availability in a
		rural market
Antimicrobial peptides	Improves growth performance,	Non-availability in
(AMPs)	decreasesdiarrhea, and enhance	market
	immune function	

Table 2. Feed additives or strategies to control PWD other than antibiotics (Rhouma et al. 2017).

References

Begum, J., Dutta, T. K., Chandra, R., Choudhary, P. R., Varte, Z. and Bitew, M. (2014). Molecular and phenotypic characterization of shigatoxigenic Escherichia coli (STEC) and enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) from piglets and infants associated with diarrhoea in Mizoram, India. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 13 (12): 1452-1461.

Dreau, D., Lalles, J.P., Philouze-Rome, V., Toullec, R. and Salmon, H. (1994). Local and systemic immune responses to soybean protein ingestion in early-weaned pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 72:2090–8.

Fairbrother, J.M. and Gyles, C. (2012). Colibacillosis. In: Diseases of Swine. Zimmerman, J.J., Karriker, L.A., Ramirez, A., Schwartz, K.J., Stevenson, G.W. 10th Ed., John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, United States. pp. 723–47.

Gardner, I.A., Willeberg, P. and Mousing J. (2002). Empirical and theoretical evidence for herd size as a risk factor for swine diseases. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* 3:43–55.



Heo, J., Opapeju, F., Pluske, J., Kim, J., Hampson, D. andNyachoti, C. (2013). Gastrointestinal health and function in weaned pigs: a review of feeding strategies to control post-weaning diarrhoea without using in-feed antimicrobial compounds. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 97:207–37

Hong, T.T.T., Linh, N.Q., Ogle, B. and Lindberg, J.E. (2006). Survey on the prevalence of diarrhoea in pre-weaning piglets and on feeding systems as contributing risk factors in smallholdings in Central Vietnam. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 38 (5): 397-405.

Lalles J-P, Bosi P, Smidt H, Stokes CR. (2007). Weaning -a challenge to gut physiologists. *Livest.Sci.*108:82–93

Mittal, D., Grakh, K., Prakash, A., Moudgil, P., Devi, B., & Jadhav, V. (2018). Isolation, Identification and Characterization of Enteric Bacteria from Post Weaning Diarrheic Pigs and their Resistance to Multiple Antibiotics. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 7(12), 2377-2384.

Moon, J.S., Lee, A.R., Kang, H.M., Lee, E.S., Kim, M.N., Paik, Y.H. and Koo, H.C. (2007). Phenotypic and genetic antibiogram of methicillin-resistant staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis in *Korea. J. Dairy Sci.* 90 (3): 1176-1185.

Rhouma, M., Fairbrother, J. M., Beaudry, F. and Letellier, A. (2017). Post weaning diarrhea in pigs: risk factors and noncolistin based control strategies. *Acta Vet. Scan.* 59 (1).

Sinha, R., Sahoo, N.R., Kumar, P., Qureshi, S., Kumar, A., Ravikumar, G.V.P.P.S. and Lee, C. (2015). Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus: an emerging and reemerging epizootic swine virus. *Virol. J.*12 (1): 193.

Sjolund, M. (2014). Financial impact on pig production III: Gastrointestinal disorders. In: Proceedings of the 6th European Symposium of Porcine Health Management, Sorrento, Italy, 189.