

Heavy Metal Contamination of Vegetables: How and How Much? Pragva Shukla

Research Scholar, Department of Botany, Institute of Science, BHU, Varanasi, India CSIR- National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow, India

ARTICLE ID: 015

Introduction

Heavy metals are very harmful contaminants in food and the environment, and these hazardous metals are non-biodegradable having long biological half-lives. The inferences associated with heavy metal contamination are illustrious concern, particularly in agricultural production systems. Most profound heavy metals and metalloid as contaminants in vegetables include lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd) and arsenic (As), respectively. These metals can pose as a severe health risk to human. So, the hazardous metals must be controlled in all food sources to assure human as well as livestock's health safety. These metals accumulate and contaminate different food chains through various biochemical process and ultimately get biomagnified at various trophic levels and ultimately threatens the health of human.

The contamination of field soil and vegetables by heavy metals is also a global environment issue. They are ubiquitous in the environment through various pathways, due to several natural and anthropogenic activities. In different environmental conditions metals may accumulate to toxic concentration and they cause ecological damages. Anthropogenic contamination include the addition of fertilizers, manures, sewage sludge, various types of insecticides and pesticides to soils, several studies identifying the risks in relation to increased soil metal concentration and consequent plant uptake. Both commercial and residential growing areas are also vulnerable to atmospheric pollution, in the form of metal containing aerosols. These aerosols can penetrate the soil and absorbed by the plant roots, or alternatively be sediment on leaves and adsorbed. Analysis of vegetables grown in the areas near to industry has reported elevated levels of heavy metals contamination.



How heavy metals affiliated with soil and vegetables?

Heavy metals bioavailability to plants is strongly related to the specification and concentration of the elements in the soil because this is where the plants get the heavy metals that they take up. Typically, plants only take up one or two forms of heavy metals from the soil solution. Metal accumulation from soil to plants depends on different factors such as plant species, different plant parts, soil properties, and metal forms. The solubility of the trace metals and their uptake vary with pH and the redox potential within the soil or the root system. The particle size and associated anions also have a great impact on growth and metal uptake by plants. Uptake of heavy metals by plants tends to influence with increasing range, as long as a certain concentration. Uptake has been recorded low when the heavy metal concentration goes beyond the range due to injured plant roots, thus loading to a lower absorbing ability. Therefore it is easy to make errors if the soil pollution records of an area are determined simply from the contents of pollutants in the plant organs. The elevated factors quantify the relative differences in bioavailability of metals to plants and is a function of both soil and plant properties.

How and how much heavy metals transfer to vegetables?

The relative differences in bioavailability of heavy metals to plants and soil and plant properties quantifies on the basis of the transfer coefficient. Relative coefficient is calculated by dividing the concentration of a metal in a vegetable crop by the total metal concentration in the soil. Elevated transfer coefficient represents relatively poor retention in soils or greater effectiveness of plants to absorb metals. Low coefficient demonstrates the strong sorption mechanism of metals to the soil. Soil-to-plant transfer is one of the key components of human exposure to metals through food chain. Plant Concentration Factor or Transfer Factor (TF) is aimportant parameter used to describe the transport of trace elements from soil to plant system and it is also a function of both soil and roots properties.

1. Health effects and risks of vegetable crops

The intake of vegetables contaminated with heavy metals may cause severe human health problems, such as malnutrition, fragile immunological mechanisms, mental growth retardation, and gastrointestinal cancer. The soil-plant transfer of metals and



metalloids is an important criterion of global human health concern. Accumulation of heavy metals in human bones and fatty tissues through contaminated food uptake, leads to depletion of nutrients and suppresses the immunological defence system. Some metals such as aluminium (Al), cadmium (Cd), manganese (Mn), and lead (Pb) can cause retarded growth and leads to bone fracture, malformation, cardiovascular diseases, kidney and liver dysfunction, and other serious complications to nervous system and immune system. Excessive levels of arsenic in food chain can cause cancer, dermal problems, respiratory complications. Elevated zinc (Zn) levels in the human body can affect the levels of high-density lipoproteins (HDL) and abrupt the immune system mechanism. Copper (Cu) intake can induce liver damage and other gastric-related severe problems. Cu, Zn, and Cr in ground soil can cause non-carcinogenic complications for human such as liver disease, neurological complications, and headaches.

~			~ ~ ~	
S. No.	Vegetables	Country	Sources of	Heavy metal concentration
		whe re	conta mina nts	
		investiga	affecting food	
		ted	chains	
1.	Leafy and root	India	Sewage effluent	Cu1.7-12.9mg/kg
	vegetables		(inadequately	Pb 0.13mg/kg
			treated)	Zn7.25–24.6mg/kg
				Cr 0.08–0.38mg/kg
				Pb 0.02–0.013mg/kg
				Cu 0.16–0.85mg/kg
2.	Potato	Brazil	Industrial/mode	Below the standard limits
			rn intensive	hazardous to human health
			urban	
			agriculture	
3.	Green cabbage,	China	Sewage effluent	Cr0.08–0.38mg/kg
	radish, spinach,		(inadequately	Pb0.02–0.013mg/kg
	cauliflower and		treated)	Cu0.16–0.85mg/kg
	lettuce			Zn0.16–0.53mg/k
4.	Lettuce, leafy	Spain	Air from	Ni <0.02 mg/kg
	vegetables		industries and	Hg <0.008mg/kg
			vehicle	As 0.005 mg/kg
				Cd<0.005mg/kg
5.	Leafy vegetables	China	Both sewage	Cr0.01–0.19mg/kg
			and industrial	Pb0.12–0.23mg/kg
			waste (from	Cu0.15–0.86mg/kg
			smelter) drained	Zn0.42–0.95mg/kg

 Table 1. Heavy metals from different sources in vegetables

www.justagriculture.in



	-			
6.	Tomato, radish,	Pakistan	Metal	Cr > 0.18 mg/kg
	brinjal, carrot,		contaminated	Pb0.91–3.96mg/kg
	chilli, garlic,		ground water	
	coriander, okra			
7.	Different	Australia	Arsenic-and	Cr27–774µg/kg
	imported		metal-	Pb35–495µg/kg
	vegetables		contaminated	Cu1–29mg/kg
	8		ground water	Zn17–183mg/kg
			Bro and water	$Cd3-370\mu g/kg$
				Mn3–140µg/kg
				Ni151–10,035µg/kg
				Pb35–495µg/kg
8.	French beans,	Australia	Urban	Cr0.00078–0.049mg/kg
0.	beet root	Tustrana	stormwater	Pb0.001–0.11mg/kg
	00011001		storniwater	Cu0.016–0.66mg/kg Zn0.038–
				0.145mg/kg
9.	Spinach	India	Saura	Cu0.09mg/kg
9.	spinaen	muta	Sewage wastewater	Cr2.9mg/kg
				Pb3.1mg/kg
			(inadequately	0 0
			treated)	Zn10mg/kg
10	Dediat	China	Tread and the	Ni3.2mg/kg
10.	Radish	China	Inadequately	Cu0.34mg/kg
			treated	Cr0.03mg/kg
			wastewater	Pb0.07mg/kg
				Cd0.012mg/kg
				Zn2.48mg/kg
		~		Ni0.07 mg/kg
11.	Potato	China	Inadequately	Cu1.03mg/kg
			treated urban	Cr0.03mg/kg
			wastewater	Pb0.067mg/kg
		1		Cd0.015mg/kg
				Zn3.77 mg/kg
				Ni0.054mg/kg
12.	Radish	India	Diverse	Cu 5.96mg/kg, Cr nil, Pb nil, Cd
			contamination	nil, Zn 22.5mg/kg, Ni nil.
			sources	
13.	Cauliflower	China	Urban	Cu0.6mg/kg, Cr0.02mg/kg,
			wastewater	Pb0.03mg/kg, Cd0.014mg/kg,
				Zn5.45mg/kg, Ni0.68mg/kg.
14.	Lettuce	US		As27.3mg/kg,
		(Florida)		
L		·	·	I



(e-ISSN: 2582-8223)



Conclusion

Metal(s) toxicity in food crops requires a significant attention to determine the level of toxicity. The level of heavy metals in the environment have elevated in recent decades. The human health risks been widely investigated globally. Rapid and accurate mapping of soil-metal contaminations and their remediation can prevent us from the global health risk. Biological practices for remediation, such as PGPR and phytoremediation, practices can be cost effective and environment friendly. Recent eco-feasible innovative techniques such as nanotechnology and awareness of farmers and urban population could boost economy and livelihoods.

References:

- Enuneku, A., Omoruyi, O., Tongo, I., Ogbomida, E., Ogbeide, O., &Ezemonye, L. (2018). Evaluating the potential health risks of heavy metal pollution in sediment and selected benthic fauna of Benin River, Southern Nigeria. *Applied Water Science*, 8(8), 224.
- Kumar, S., Prasad, S., Yadav, K.K., Shrivastava, M., Gupta, N., Nagar, S., Bach, Q.V., Kamyab, H., Khan, S.A., Yadav, S. &Malav, L.C. (2019). Hazardous heavy metals contamination of vegetables and food chain: Role of sustainable remediation approaches-A review. *Environmental research*, 179, 108792.
- Rai, P. K., Lee, S. S., Zhang, M., Tsang, Y. F., & Kim, K. H. (2019). Heavy metals in food crops: Health risks, fate, mechanisms, and management. *Environment International*, 125, 365-385.

