

The Role of Purposive Sampling Technique as a Tool for Informal Choices in a Social Sciences in Research Methods

Fr. Baiju Thomas

Research Scholar, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute,
Faculty of Disability Management and Special Education, Vidyalaya Campus,
SRKV Post, Coimbatore

ARTICLE ID: 047

Abstract

The present study discourse on the role of the purposive sampling technique as a tool for informed choices in social sciences in research methods. Various sampling approaches are employed in social science research to study a wide range of topics. It is vital to choose the most efficient way of sample collection. The study's initial goal is to identify the sampling technique used to collect the data. Others procedures will be addressed as well. Our primary focus is on the various kinds of probability and non-probability. There are advantages and disadvantages to all of these methods. The most crucial factor in judging the worth of an idea, technique, or object is how appealing it appears. This product seems to be a significant problem due to the light cone. Purposeful sampling is being used in various ways, based on study design, as according to research papers. Every study has a tried-and-true method for collecting and analyzing data. There are many considerations to consider while selecting a sample method for each analysis. The proper sampling technique must be chosen when doing a particular research study. A variety of sample procedures should be considered before making a final decision. The investigator must know the "Pros and Cons" of each sampling method before deciding. As easy or hard it is to perform purposeful sampling has as many perspectives since there are people who think it. This means your results are valid and reliable because your sample is much more tightly connected to your research purpose. In this concept, consistency, extension, dependence and rational dependability are the components. For research in a cultural context, a non-probability sampling technique termed purposeful sampling is the best option. In all qualitative research methods, using a tailored sample can be a boon. Despite the method's apparent bias, it can achieve better results than



random sampling. The investigator's reliability and competence must be confirmed before choosing a purposeful sample in social science research methods.

Keywords: Purposive Sampling, Social Science, Research Methods, Tool and Informative Choices.

Introduction

Developing predictive concepts necessitates the collection of relevant data (Bernard 2002). No amount of preparation can make up for a bad data gathering technique or a wrong data source (Bernard et al. 1986). Purposive sampling should be viewed as information producers because they have a deep knowledge of plant species. Most studies fail to establish the use of a method as a consequence. Purposive sampling can be used in a research endeavour to quickly and effectively narrow down the pool of potential participants (also known as judgment sampling). No prior knowledge or a predetermined number of informants are required for the non-random technique. The first stage in conducting research is to find people who are willing to share their knowledge and experience (Bernard 2002, Lewis & Sheppard 2006). Either one, just a few culture interpreters, can be an efficient way to use purposeful sampling (Bernard 2002; Garcia 2006; Gustad et al. 2004, Jarvis et al. 2004, Lyon & Hardesty 2005). Bernard's intended audience is those informed about the history and are happy to disclose their expertise (Campbell 1955; Seidler 1974; Tremblay 1957). Research is a study and experimentation method which involves acquiring, examining, and analyzing data to answer a question or solve an issue (AnandBallabh Joshi, 2004). Because of its systematic nature, it collects data using specific policies and techniques. To develop that competence, the investigator must sift through a substantial quantity of explicit and general stuff, which is not always straightforward. As a result, fulfilling the study's task would have been very unattainable in such a setting. As an outcome, whenever the populace is exceptionally vast, techniques including such sampling are often used, and purposeful sampling is among the most extensively utilized kinds of sampling, with the investigator playing a pivotal role. A well-thought-out sampling strategy was required to find people who were open to sharing and experiencing plants. The populations, scientific methods, and most significant points of each study are described in depth in this document. An investigator's credibility was also examined in this study.

Using the Purposive Sampling Method



The subject the investigator is ready to give is of utmost importance when selecting a sampling procedure for source selection. The issue will determine the goals for which the technique will be built. The much more crucial choice here is not whether to study the overall population, as well as how to efficiently choose the inhabitants. That many people will be named as suspects? What level of organization and society would be evaluated? Purposive sampling is required to assure generalizability of the study and that the data collected is consistent, reliable, and useful (Alexiades 1996, Bernard 1996). The researcher must then decide if purposive sampling is the most suitable tool for the study. The sample size under inquiry is typically minimal, in contrast to probability approaches. It's a type of non-probability sampling in which the investigator determines who should be included in the sample based on several characteristics such as subject matter expertise or the ability and desire to engage in the study (Paul Oliver, 2015). According to Adolph Jenson, "purposive sampling" is described as "the technique of selecting the number of sets of components in such a way that the object depending make approximately the same estimation or percent as the population for those personal characteristics that are currently the subject of data gathering" (S.R.Myneni, 2015). Moreover, unlike the other qualitative studies collection methods, purposeful sampling aims not to pick a representative sample from society to translate the outcomes to a sizeable intended audience. The ultimate goal of the qualitative approach might be to finish it (Paul Oliver, 2015). Purposive sampling consists of a variety of non-probability sampling methods. Purposeful sampling is the emphasis of the investigator's sampling designing phase for the objects to be studied. Evaluating, choosing, or purposeful sampling are other terms for the same thing. Purposive sampling has a longstanding history and opinions on how basic and easy it varies more than opinions on how advanced it is. By comparing the populace to the study's initial aims and goals, purposeful sampling improves the study's continuity and accuracy of data and conclusions. Reliability, comparability, originality, and validity are the four aspects of this concept that were investigated using social science research methods.

Types of purposive sampling

The method of purposive sampling can be applied in several situations (Patton, 1990, 2002; Kuzel, 1999). The succeeding is some examples of purposive sampling methods:

Maximum variation sampling



Maximum variation sampling, also recognized as vast and varied sampling, is a purposive sampling technique for going to capture a diversity of views about the object you're keen to know about; that is, maximum variation sampling is an undertaking for differences in points of view that also seem to distance from the common to the extraordinary in essentially.

Homogeneous sampling

Homogenous sampling is a type of purposive sampling that aims to produce a homogenous solution or one in which all of the components have the same traits or properties. Homogeneous sampling is the complete antithesis of the highest variance sampling in this regard. A homogeneous sample is commonly used when the research topic is specific to the features of a particular population.

Typical case sampling

Since descriptive analytics of the object you're dealing with are foreseeable, a typical example sample is a purposive sampling strategy. The term "typical" does not imply that the individuals were selected at random when the sample size was calculated. Instead, the word "typical" relates to a researcher's comparing the findings of a study utilizing usual example sampling to that of related research.

Total population sampling

Total population sampling is a form of purposive sampling method in which you examine the entire populace for just a set of characteristics. In these circumstances, the total population is often chosen because the crowd with the precise location of qualities you're seeking is so limited.

Advantages of purposive sampling

- **To assist, several qualitative research methods have indeed been designed:** Investigators can use a variety of qualitative studies while concentrating on purposive sampling. These designs usually necessitate a one-of-a-kind sampling strategy and technique to obtain the essential data to form a conclusion. The purposive sampling approach's multiple methods make research planning more customizable, allowing for the employment of various tactics as needed to obtain the intended outcome.



- **There still is time to begin making a judgment based on evidence:** While researchers are unable to extrapolate data from a single sample to draw future. Ultimately about the total population, the various purposive sampling procedures let researchers establish broader inferences predicated on their sampling. These endeavors must have a proper, interpretative, or intellectual context to be enforceable.
- **Purposive sampling could be broken up into several stages:** Purposive sampling can require investigators to go through numerous locations, which each relies on prior ones. This strategy is helpful since it offers a researcher a bigger pool of non-probability sampling possibilities to pick from, even though it usually demands more expertise and activity at the start of each step.
- **It saves a lot of time by facilitating data collection:** Purposeful sampling's versatility enables researchers to save some time & expense while gathering data. It allows actual training as the situation changes, especially when they do so unexpectedly.
- **The purposive sample with the highest level of variance will still be possible to achieve:** Using a specific strategy in this research selection, it is possible to select people from various situations related to the issue under discussion. This technique aims to provide investigators with as much information as possible on any vital subject they're studying.

Disadvantages of purposive sampling

- **It features a large number of inaccurate statistical inference methodologies:** When you use purposive sampling to collect data, you'll see that this approach incorporates a variety of inference techniques. These numbers have been updated and are no longer accurate.
- **This strategy is highly subject to investigator bias:** Regardless of the method employed to collect data, researchers are susceptible to bias during purposeful sampling. In the beginning, a sample is created by the researcher's interpretation and evaluation of the data.
- **It can be challenging to demonstrate that a representative sample:** The researchers must be able to demonstrate that their judgment in selecting different units



or individuals was appropriate for the approach as a whole before using purposeful sampling. Emotional insecurity casts a cloud over everyone in every setting.

- **Purposeful sampling can affect the data of surveys:** Behavior may alter when one hears that they have been selected for research. Your attitude and behavior can either help or impede an investigation. There are certain persons whose beliefs require that they lie to succeed.
- **This can be ineffective if performed to an enormous population:** The whole sampling is employed in circumstances where only a few people or units exhibit the desired traits. Purposive sampling would be nearly tricky if the premise were enthused.

Conclusion

The Indigenous herbal study includes human partners, necessitating an emphasis on informant selection methods. For the same or even better results than random sampling, there are times when purposeful sampling is the better option. Purposive sampling should be emphasized more in social science research methods. Investigators use a planned sampling technique while also relying on their prior experience, judgment, and intelligence and get the most out of their sample. Purposive sampling is the only viable option when some units are crucial. There must be an explanation of how participants are chosen to ensure that the research method is sound. From these examples, beginning statisticians could learn how to conduct rigorous social science research methods.

References

- Alexiades, M. N. (1996). Collecting ethnobotanical data: an introduction to basic concepts and techniques. *Advances in economic botany*, **10**, 53-94.
- Bernard, H. R., Wutich, A., & Ryan, G. W. (2016). Analyzing qualitative data: Systematic approaches. *SAGE publications*.
- Bernard, J. L., Murphy, M., & Little, M. (1987). The failure of clinical psychologists to apply understood ethical principles. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, **18**(5), 489.
- Bernard, S. A., Gray, T. W., Buist, M. D., Jones, B. M., Silvester, W., Gutteridge, G., & Smith, K. (2002). Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **346**(8), 557-563.

Campbell, D. T. (1955). The informant in quantitative research. *American Journal of*

sociology, 60(4), 339-342.

- Dhillon, S. S., & Gustad, G. (2004). Local management practices influence the viability of the baobab (*Adansonia digitata* Linn.) in different land use types, Cinzana, Mali. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 101(1), 85-103.
- Emmel, N. (2013). Purposeful sampling. Sampling and choosing cases in qualitative research: *A realist approach*, 33-45.
- García, A. G., & Pérez-Villalón, G. (2006). Dual frames in L2 (0, 1) connected with generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 20(3), 422-433.
- Jarvis, P. (2004). *Adult education and lifelong learning: Theory and practice*. Routledge.
- Joshi, A. B., Banjara, M. R., Bhatta, L. R., Rikimaru, T., & Jimba, M. (2007). Insufficient level of iodine content in household powder salt in Nepal. *Nepal Med Coll J*, 9(2), 75-8.
- Lewis, J. L., & Sheppard, S. R. (2006). Culture and communication: can landscape visualization improve forest management consultation with indigenous communities?. *Landscape and urban planning*, 77(3), 291-313.
- Lyon, L. M., & Hardesty, L. H. (2005). *Traditional Healing in the Contemporary Life of the Antanosy People of Madagascar*. Retrieved August 27, 2013.
- Nomura, M., Nakagawa, J., Kage, Y., Maire, J., Moser, D., & Paul, O. (2015). Thermal phonon transport in silicon nanowires and two-dimensional phononic crystal nanostructures. *Applied Physics Letters*, 106(14), 143102.
- Oliver, P. (2015). *Sociology: A Complete Introduction: Teach Yourself*. Hachette UK.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Two decades of developments in qualitative inquiry: A personal, experiential perspective. *Qualitative social work*, 1(3), 261-283
- Seidler, J. (1974). On using informants: A technique for collecting quantitative data and controlling measurement error in organization analysis. *American Sociological Review*, 816-831.
- Stadler, W. M., Kuzel, T., Shapiro, C., Sosman, J., Clark, J., & Vogelzang, N. J. (1999). Multi-institutional study of the angiogenesis inhibitor TNP-470 in metastatic renal carcinoma. *Journal of clinical oncology*, 17(8), 2541-2541.
- Toleti, S., Bobbillapati, J. R., Kollipaka, S. R., & Myneni, R. B. (2015). Detection of inducible clindamycin resistance and susceptibilities to other antimicrobial agents in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 3(3), 612

Tremblay, M. A. (1957). The key informant technique: A nonethnographic application.
American Anthropologist, 59(4), 688-701.

