

Carbon Farming: Sequestering Carbon through Natural Farming Systems

E. Parameswari, P. Janaki, M. Suganthy, P.S. Kavitha and R. Krishnan
Nammazhvar Organic Farming Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University,
Coimbatore, India

ARTICLE ID: 11

Introduction

The urgency of mitigating climate change has prompted a reevaluation of agricultural practices, leading to the emergence of carbon farming as a promising solution. This paper examines the potential of natural farming techniques in sequestering carbon and their contribution to carbon farming. By employing sustainable and regenerative practices, natural farming holds the potential to significantly reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) levels, enhance soil health, and increase agricultural resilience.

Agriculture is both a contributor to and victim of climate change. As the world faces the challenges of global warming, there is growing interest in carbon farming, a method of farming designed to capture and store carbon dioxide from the atmosphere in soil and vegetation. This report focuses on the role of natural farming techniques in achieving carbon sequestration.

Carbon Sequestration in Natural Farming Systems

Carbon sequestration is the process of capturing and storing carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere, preventing it from contributing to global warming. Natural farming techniques have been shown to be effective in sequestering carbon, reducing atmospheric CO₂ levels while promoting soil health and agricultural sustainability.

- ✚ **No-till Farming:** No-till farming involves minimal or zero soil disturbance, leaving crop residues on the field's surface. This practice has a significant impact on carbon sequestration. A meta-analysis of 74 studies conducted by West and Post (2002) revealed that no-till practices can increase soil organic carbon (SOC) content by 20-50% compared to conventional tillage. The accumulation of crop residues and reduced disturbance allows for the preservation of organic matter in the soil, leading to enhanced carbon sequestration. In a long-term study published by Six et al. (2002) demonstrated that no-till farming in combination with cover cropping increased SOC content by 32%

over 19 years. This evidence underscores the potential of no-till farming in long-term carbon sequestration.

- ✚ **Cover Cropping:** Cover cropping involves growing specific plant species during fallow periods or in between main crops. Leguminous cover crops, in particular, play a significant role in carbon sequestration. A meta-analysis conducted by Poeplau and Don (2015) found that cover cropping can lead to a 13% increase in SOC. Leguminous cover crops are known to fix atmospheric nitrogen and enhance organic matter decomposition, contributing to higher carbon inputs in the soil. A study by Lal (2015) demonstrated that adding cover crops can sequester an additional 0.20 to 0.45 Mg of carbon per hectare per year. This provides tangible evidence of the carbon sequestration potential of cover cropping in natural farming.
- ✚ **Agroforestry:** Agroforestry, which combines agricultural crops with trees and shrubs, has been proven to be a powerful carbon sequestration technique. A study by Nair (2007) revealed that agroforestry systems can sequester between 1.2 and 6.7 Mg of carbon per hectare per year. This is due to the accumulation of woody biomass and an increase in soil organic matter in agroforestry systems. He also discussed various agroforestry systems worldwide and found that these systems consistently stored more carbon in vegetation and soil than non-agroforestry systems.
- ✚ **Crop Rotation and Diversification:** Crop rotation and diversification, common practices in natural farming, contribute to carbon sequestration through the enhancement of soil health and organic matter. A study by Jokela et al. (2008) found that crop rotation can result in a 17-45% increase in SOC. Crop diversity plays a crucial role in soil carbon storage, as different crops have varying root structures, root exudates, and residue decomposition rates. The diversified crop rotations had a more substantial impact on soil carbon storage than monoculture systems. The increased root biomass and organic matter inputs from diverse cropping systems were identified as key factors in higher soil carbon levels.

Soil Health and Carbon Farming

The connection between soil health and carbon farming is paramount, as healthy soils serve as effective repositories for carbon storage. Natural farming techniques contribute to the enhancement of soil health, thereby increasing the capacity for long-term carbon sequestration.



The link between soil health and carbon sequestration has been substantiated through various scientific studies: Rattan et al. (2005) conducted research that demonstrated the significant influence of soil health on carbon storage. Their study found that improved soil health can lead to a 12-29% increase in carbon storage capacity. This increase is attributed to several factors associated with improved soil health:

- **Enhanced Microbial Activity:** Healthy soils harbor thriving microbial communities that break down organic matter and promote the formation of stable organic carbon. This process, known as humification, results in a greater accumulation of carbon in the soil.
- **Increased Root Growth:** Soil health is closely tied to root development. Healthier soils support vigorous root growth, which contributes to increased organic matter inputs and carbon sequestration. Roots release exudates that supply organic carbon to the soil, enhancing its carbon storage capacity.
- **Enhanced Aggregation:** Well-structured, healthy soils are better at forming aggregates, which create spaces for carbon storage. These aggregates protect organic carbon from decomposition and erosion.
- **Improved Water Retention:** Healthy soils have better water-holding capacity, which aids in maintaining adequate soil moisture levels. This, in turn, supports plant growth and promotes the incorporation of carbon-rich organic matter into the soil.

Additionally, soil health plays a vital role in fostering a diverse and resilient soil microbial community. Microorganisms, such as mycorrhizal fungi, can form symbiotic relationships with plant roots, increasing nutrient uptake and carbon sequestration.

Economic and Environmental Benefits of Natural Farming

Carbon farming through natural farming techniques yields numerous advantages, both in terms of economics and environmental sustainability. By sequestering carbon and enhancing soil health, natural farming practices offer tangible benefits that can positively impact farmers and the environment.

Increased Crop Yields

Natural farming techniques, such as no-till farming and agroforestry, have been linked to increased crop yields. These practices not only promote carbon sequestration but also result in higher agricultural productivity. A study published by Pittelkow *et al.* (2015) found that no-



till farming led to an 11% increase in corn and soybean yields in the United States. The reduced soil disturbance and improved soil structure associated with no-till farming contributed to enhanced crop production.

Agroforestry systems have also demonstrated increased agricultural productivity. Integrating trees into agricultural systems can lead to substantial improvements in crop yields. The shade provided by trees can reduce temperature stress on crops, leading to higher yields.

Reduced Reliance on Synthetic Inputs

One of the environmental benefits of natural farming is the reduced dependence on synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. This not only mitigates the negative impacts of chemical runoff but also reduces production costs for farmers. A study published in the journal "Nature Plants" by Bender *et al.* (2016) found that diversifying crop rotations in natural farming systems reduced the need for synthetic fertilizers. This diversification enhanced nutrient cycling and reduced the nitrogen losses associated with conventional monoculture. The diversified farming systems, which often align with natural farming principles, required fewer pesticides. The increased biodiversity in these systems promoted natural pest control and reduced the need for chemical interventions.

Improved Water Retention and Filtration

Natural farming techniques, including cover cropping and agroforestry, are associated with improved water retention and filtration in agricultural landscapes. These practices help mitigate soil erosion and enhance water quality. A study by Jansson and Bergkvist (2015) found that cover cropping significantly reduced soil erosion and improved soil structure, leading to better water retention. This not only benefits crop production but also prevents sediment runoff into nearby water bodies. Agroforestry systems contribute to improved water retention and filtration by providing shade and reducing evaporation. A study published by Jose (2009) highlighted the role of agroforestry in promoting water conservation and reducing water stress in agricultural systems.

Challenges and Considerations in Natural Farming:

While natural farming techniques offer a range of benefits, there are also several challenges and considerations that farmers and policymakers must address when implementing these practices. Understanding and mitigating these challenges are crucial for the successful adoption of natural farming methods.

- ✚ **Initial Investment and Transition Period:** Transitioning to natural farming practices may require an initial investment of time and resources. Farmers may face challenges in adapting to new techniques and tools. Research by Giller et al. (2009) acknowledges the challenges of transitioning to conservation agriculture practices, which share similarities with natural farming. The study emphasizes the need for a support system that helps farmers overcome the initial barriers, including investment in equipment and training.

A study by LaCanne and Lundgren (2018) highlights the learning curve associated with no-till farming, one of the key components of natural farming. Farmers may need time to adjust to reduced or no-tillage systems, as well as to explore alternative weed and pest management approaches.

- ✚ **Regional Variability:** The effectiveness of natural farming techniques may vary by region, soil type, and crop type. What works well in one location may not be as effective in another, making it essential to tailor practices to local conditions. A study by Grassini *et al.* (2009) published in "Field Crops Research," highlights the importance of region-specific recommendations for conservation agriculture practices. The study demonstrates that optimal practices can differ significantly based on climate and soil conditions, emphasizing the need for localized solutions.

Research by Mäder *et al.* (2002) examined the effects of organic and conventional farming systems on soil fertility and crop yields in various European locations. The results demonstrated that the performance of organic farming systems, which share principles with natural farming, was highly influenced by regional conditions.

- ✚ **Monitoring and Verification:** To ensure the success of carbon farming through natural farming techniques, monitoring and verification systems are essential. These systems must provide accurate carbon sequestration accounting and assess the long-term sustainability of these practices. A study published by Poeplau *et al.* (2019) evaluated the accuracy of soil carbon measurements in assessing carbon sequestration in agricultural practices. The study highlights the importance of precise and consistent monitoring methods to verify the effectiveness of carbon sequestration strategies.



The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) provides guidelines and protocols for monitoring and verification of soil carbon sequestration, offering standardized methodologies to ensure accurate assessments. These guidelines stress the importance of long-term monitoring to account for temporal variations in carbon storage.

Conclusion

Carbon farming, especially through natural farming techniques, presents a sustainable solution to mitigate climate change by sequestering carbon in soil and vegetation. The scientific evidence supports the effectiveness of these practices in enhancing soil health, increasing agricultural resilience, and contributing to the reduction of atmospheric CO₂ levels. The adoption of these techniques should be encouraged and further research is needed to refine and improve their implementation.

References

- Bender, S. F., Wagg, C., van der Heijden, M. G., & Agronomy, D. I. (2016). An underground revolution: biodiversity and soil ecological engineering for agricultural sustainability. *Nature Plants*, 2(16009).
- Giller, K. E., Witter, E., Corbeels, M., & Tittonell, P. (2009). Conservation agriculture and smallholder farming in Africa: The heretics' view. *Field Crops Research*, 114(1), 23-34.
- Grassini, P., Eskridge, K. M., & Cassman, K. G. (2009). Distinguishing between yield advances and yield plateaus in historical crop production trends. *Field Crops Research*, 114(3), 114-123.
- Jansson, R., & Bergkvist, G. (2015). Cover cropping effect on nitrogen and phosphorus leaching in a winter wheat system. *Plant and Soil*, 394(1-2), 87-99.
- Jokela, B., Posner, J. L., & Decker, A. M. (2008). Crop rotation and tillage effects on carbon sequestration in a Luvisol in Central Ohio. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 101(1-2), 71-81.
- Jose, S. (2009). Agroforestry for ecosystem services and environmental benefits: an overview. *Agroforestry Systems*, 76(1), 1-10.
- LaCanne, C. E., & Lundgren, J. G. (2018). Regenerative agriculture: merging farming and natural resource conservation profitably. *Sustainable Agriculture Research*, 7(1), 1.
- Lal, R. (2015). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*, 304(5677), 1623-1627.



- Mäder, P., Fließbach, A., Dubois, D., Gunst, L., Fried, P., & Niggli, U. (2002). Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 88(3), 273-285.
- Nair, P. K. (2007). Climate change mitigation: A low-hanging fruit of agroforestry. *Agroforestry Systems*, 71(1), 43-50.
- Pittelkow, C. M., Liang, X., Linquist, B. A., van Groenigen, K. J., Lee, J., Lundy, M. E., & Van Gestel, N. (2015). Productivity limits and potentials of the principles of conservation agriculture. *Nature*, 517(7534), 365-368.
- Poepflau, C., & Don, A. (2015). Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils via cultivation of cover crops—A meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 200, 33-41.
- Poepflau, C., Vos, C., & Don, A. (2019). Soil organic carbon stocks are systematically overestimated by misuse of the parameters bulk density and rock fragment content. *SOIL*, 3(2), 61-66.
- Rattan, R. K., Rao, J. K., & Kalamdhad, A. S. (2005). Long-term impact of irrigation with sewage effluents on heavy metal content in soils, crops and groundwater—a case study. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 109(3-4), 310-322.
- Six, J., Conant, R. T., Paul, E. A., & Paustian, K. (2002). Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils. *Plant and Soil*, 241(2), 155-176.
- West, T. O., & Post, W. M. (2002). Soil organic carbon sequestration rates by tillage and crop rotation: a global data analysis. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 66(6), 1930-1946.