

# **Resilience through Detoxification: How Cryptobiotic Organisms Survive Harsh Environmental Stresses**

Manimaran, B<sup>1</sup>., Berliner, J<sup>2</sup>., Sellaperumal, C<sup>1</sup>., Mhatre, P.H<sup>3</sup>., Ramya, R.S<sup>4</sup>. and Sirisha, T<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> ICAR – Indian Institute of Spices Research, Kozhikode
 <sup>2</sup>ICAR-IARI, RS, Wellington, Tamil Nadu; ICAR-IARI, New Delhi
 <sup>3</sup>ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute, RC, Muthorai
 <sup>4</sup>ICAR- National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources, Bengaluru
 <sup>5</sup>ICAR- Directorate of Floricultural Research, Regional Station, Vemagiri

## **ARTICLE ID: 38**

#### Introduction

Cryptobiosis is a remarkable survival strategy in which certain organisms can suspend almost all metabolic activities to withstand extreme environmental stresses. This state, often triggered by factors like desiccation, freezing, high salinity, or lack of oxygen (anoxia), enables organisms to survive in conditions that would otherwise be lethal. In cryptobiosis, an organism's cells enter a dormant-like phase, drastically slowing down metabolic processes. Detoxification systems are essential in this state, as they prevent damage from the accumulation of reactive molecules and other potentially toxic by-products. These detoxification mechanisms, working alongside other protective systems, allow cryptobiotic organisms to preserve cellular structure and function, paving the way for a successful recovery when favourable conditions return. In this article, we will explore how these pathways are essential to cryptobiotic organisms.

## Managing Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)

During cryptobiosis, organisms face extreme environmental stresses, such as desiccation, freezing, and oxygen deprivation, that can trigger an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS). These molecules are highly reactive and can cause severe damage to cellular components like DNA, proteins, and lipids. To counteract the harmful effects of ROS, organisms in cryptobiotic states rely on antioxidant pathways as part of their detoxification strategies. Enzymes like superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, and glutathione peroxidase are key players in neutralizing ROS. Additionally, non-enzymatic antioxidants, such as glutathione and ascorbic acid, help maintain cellular redox balance and protect critical structures from



oxidative damage. Such detoxification mechanisms allow organisms to preserve cellular integrity, enabling survival despite intense oxidative stress.

#### **Clearing Metabolites and Toxins**

Metabolic processes inevitably generate byproducts that can become toxic if not removed from the cell. In a cryptobiotic state, where cellular activity is drastically reduced, these byproducts may accumulate, posing a threat to cell viability. Detoxification pathways help by breaking down and clearing these metabolites, preventing them from reaching harmful levels. Cytochrome P450 enzymes, for instance, play a role in breaking down toxic substances, while heat shock proteins (HSPs) assist in stabilizing and refolding damaged proteins. By managing potentially harmful byproducts and preventing protein aggregation, these detoxification mechanisms support cellular stability during cryptobiosis and contribute to a successful return to normal function once the stressor subsides.

#### **Protecting Membranes and Proteins**

In conditions that induce cryptobiosis, such as extreme desiccation or freezing, the cell's lipid membranes and protein structures are especially vulnerable to damage. Stabilizing these structures is essential for preserving cellular function, as disruption of membranes or denaturation of proteins can lead to cell death. Molecules like trehalose and other protective sugars accumulate in cells during cryptobiosis, acting as stabilizers for membranes and proteins. These protective compounds help prevent the need for detoxifying damaged components by reducing potential damage from the outset. By reinforcing structural integrity, they work in tandem with detoxification pathways to minimize the formation of denatured proteins and oxidized lipids.

## **Enabling Repair Upon Recovery**

The transition out of cryptobiosis can be as challenging for cells as entering the state. After rehydration or thawing, cells may be left with damage from accumulated toxins and ROS produced during the cryptobiotic state. During this recovery phase, effective detoxification mechanisms are crucial. DNA repair enzymes become active to fix any mutations or breaks caused by oxidative stress, and the proteasome and autophagy pathways help remove any damaged or misfolded proteins. These repair and clearance mechanisms allow the cell to resume normal metabolic activities and ensure a successful transition back to an active state post-cryptobiosis. Detoxification pathways are therefore essential in managing the after-effects of cryptobiosis, allowing organisms to safely return to a viable, active state.



#### Synergy with Anhydrobiosis and Other Protective Pathways

In cases where cryptobiosis is driven by desiccation, a phenomenon known as anhydrobiosis, detoxification pathways work closely with other protective mechanisms. Anhydrobiosis involves unique adaptations like the synthesis of late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins and the accumulation of trehalose. These molecules help protect cells from the damaging effects of water loss. Detoxification pathways complement these adaptations by minimizing the formation of reactive species and protecting against the molecular damage that can arise from prolonged desiccation. Together, these pathways ensure that cells are protected from immediate damage and are well-prepared for recovery once conditions improve.

Thus, the detoxification pathways are vital to cryptobiosis, as they help manage ROS, clear toxic by-products, protect structural components, enable post-recovery repair, and work synergistically with other protective mechanisms. This integrated approach allows organisms to endure and recover from extreme conditions that would otherwise be lethal. Now we will see the molecular mechanisms governing these detoxification process.

# Molecular mechanisms underlying detoxification in cryptobiotic organisms

The molecular mechanisms underlying detoxification in cryptobiotic organisms involve a range of sophisticated pathways that help neutralize and manage reactive molecules, repair damage, and stabilize cellular structures under extreme environmental conditions. These detoxification strategies enable cryptobiotic organisms to survive intense stresses like desiccation, freezing, high salinity, and anoxia. Here's a breakdown of the primary molecular mechanisms involved

#### 1. Antioxidant Enzyme Systems

Cryptobiotic organisms experience high levels of oxidative stress, particularly from reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during dehydration or rehydration. Antioxidant enzymes are a core part of their defense:

- Superoxide Dismutase (SOD): Converts superoxide radicals into less harmful molecules, like hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).
- Catalase: Breaks down hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen, further reducing oxidative stress.
- Glutathione Peroxidase: Uses glutathione as a co-factor to reduce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and organic peroxides, thereby limiting cellular damage.



These enzymes work in tandem to keep ROS levels under control, preventing oxidative damage to vital macromolecules such as DNA, proteins, and lipids.

## 2. Glutathione and Thioredoxin Systems

The glutathione and thioredoxin systems are two major antioxidant pathways in cells that help maintain redox balance and detoxify harmful compounds:

- Glutathione (GSH): Acts as a major antioxidant, participating in redox reactions and conjugating to harmful compounds for detoxification. Glutathione reductase and glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) play essential roles in this system.
- Thioredoxin (Trx): A protein that donates electrons to reduce ROS and maintain protein thiols in their active states. Thioredoxin reductase recycles oxidized thioredoxin, enabling continuous ROS detoxification.

Both systems prevent oxidative damage and maintain a stable intracellular environment, especially during stress conditions.

## 3. Heat Shock Proteins (HSPs) and Chaperones

Heat shock proteins and other molecular chaperones help stabilize and refold proteins that may be denatured or aggregated due to stress conditions in cryptobiosis:

- HSP70 and HSP90 Families: These chaperones bind to denatured proteins, refold them, and prevent aggregation, ensuring protein function is preserved.
- Small HSPs: Act as stabilizers for proteins during stress by binding partially unfolded proteins and preventing irreversible aggregation.

Chaperones play an essential role in protein quality control, which is critical for the survival of cryptobiotic organisms as they endure and recover from extreme environmental conditions.

## 4. Cytochrome P450 Enzymes

Cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) are important in detoxifying xenobiotics and metabolizing endogenous compounds. In cryptobiotic organisms, these enzymes can help manage the metabolic byproducts that accumulate during the stress state:

- Phase I Detoxification: CYPs catalyze the oxidation of toxic molecules, making them more water-soluble and easier to eliminate.
- Phase II Detoxification: Conjugation enzymes, such as glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), further process these oxidized compounds, which are then excreted from the cell.



These two-phase detoxification process minimizes cellular damage and maintains the integrity of the organism in cryptobiosis.

# 5. Autophagy and Proteasome Systems

The cellular clean-up machinery plays an essential role in cryptobiotic recovery by removing damaged or misfolded proteins and recycling cellular components:

- Autophagy: In this process, damaged organelles and protein aggregates are engulfed in autophagosomes and delivered to lysosomes for degradation. This removes damaged cellular components and provides essential building blocks for recovery.
- Ubiquitin-Proteasome Pathway: Targets misfolded or oxidatively damaged proteins for degradation. Proteins tagged with ubiquitin are directed to the proteasome, where they are broken down, preventing toxicity from protein aggregates.

Both pathways help cryptobiotic organisms maintain cellular homeostasis, even under extreme stress, and prepare for recovery.

# 6. Protective Small Molecules: Trehalose, LEA Proteins, and Antioxidants

Cryptobiotic organisms produce protective small molecules that aid in both detoxification and cellular stabilization:

- Trehalose: A sugar that stabilizes proteins and lipid membranes, trehalose minimizes oxidative stress by protecting cellular structures during desiccation and rehydration.
- Late Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) Proteins: These proteins act as molecular shields, stabilizing cellular structures and reducing ROS formation during desiccation.
- Non-Enzymatic Antioxidants: Molecules like ascorbic acid (vitamin C), tocopherol (vitamin E), and carotenoids act as free radical scavengers, neutralizing ROS and protecting cellular structures.

These protective molecules complement the enzymatic detoxification pathways, providing an additional layer of protection in cryptobiotic organisms.

## 7. DNA Repair Mechanisms

During cryptobiosis, DNA can be damaged by oxidative stress, which leads to mutations or strand breaks. DNA repair mechanisms become critical during rehydration or recovery:

- Base Excision Repair (BER): Corrects oxidative damage to DNA bases, which is common in cryptobiotic states.
- Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER): Repairs larger helix-distorting lesions, protecting the organism from potentially lethal mutations.



Homologous Recombination and Non-Homologous End Joining: These pathways repair double-strand breaks, ensuring genome integrity during and after cryptobiosis.

DNA repair pathways thus allow cryptobiotic organisms to preserve genetic information and resume cellular functions after extreme stress.

#### **Conclusion:**

In cryptobiotic organisms, detoxification pathways are essential for enduring extreme stresses and facilitating a smooth transition back to active life. From neutralizing reactive oxygen species (ROS) to stabilizing proteins and cellular structures, these pathways work in synergy with other protective mechanisms such as antioxidant systems, chaperones, and DNA repair processes. Alongside protective molecules like trehalose and LEA proteins, detoxification pathways enable cryptobiotic organisms to survive intense stresses, prevent cellular damage, and recover fully when favourable conditions return. As scientists continue to explore cryptobiosis, understanding these detoxification pathways could unlock valuable insights into resilience against stress, with potential applications across fields ranging from agriculture to biotechnology and medicine.

#### **Selected References:**

- Cannone, N., Corinti, T., Malfasi, F., Gerola, P., Vianelli, A., Vanetti, I., Zaccara, S., Convey,
  P., & Guglielmin, M. (2017). Moss survival through in situ cryptobiosis after six centuries of glacier burial. Scientific reports, 7(1), 4438.
- Clegg J. S. (2001). Cryptobiosis--a peculiar state of biological organization. Comparative biochemistry and physiology. Part B, Biochemistry & molecular biology, 128(4), 613– 624.
- Giovannini, I., Boothby, T. C., Cesari, M., Goldstein, B., Guidetti, R., & Rebecchi, L. (2022). Production of reactive oxygen species and involvement of bioprotectants during anhydrobiosis in the tardigrade Paramacrobiotus spatialis. Scientific reports, 12(1), 1938.
- KEILIN D. (1959). The problem of anabiosis or latent life: history and current concept. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences, 150(939), 149–191.
- Knutelski, S., Harańczyk, H., Nowak, P., Wróbel, A., Leszczyński, B., Okuda, T., Strzałka, K.,& Baran, E. (2022). Rehydration of the sleeping chironomid, Polypedilum vanderplanki

# Vol. 5 Issue- 4, December 2024



Hinton, 1951 larvae from cryptobiotic state up to full physiological hydration (Diptera: Chironomidae). Scientific reports, 12(1), 3766.

Neuman Y. (2006). Cryptobiosis: a new theoretical perspective. Progress in biophysics and molecular biology, 92(2), 258–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2005.11.001



 $P_{\text{age}}217$